

REMARKS

Claims 1, 27, 37, 42 and 48 are pending. Claims 2-26, 28-36, 38-41, 43-47 and 49-52 are canceled without prejudice.

The support for the amendment to claims are as follows: Claim 1 (Claims 2 and 22) and Claims 27, 37, 42 and 48: (correct claim dependency). Amended claim 1 recites the free-form surface prism but does not recite the deviation angle correcting prism recited in claim 22. No new matter has been added.

Allowable Subject Matter (Office Action p.5)

Applicants acknowledge that claims 7, 17, 22, 27, 37, 42 and 48 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Election Restriction

Applicants acknowledge the withdraw of the previous restriction requirement and that all claims are under consideration.

Rejections under 35 USC 112

Claims 3-6, 8-16, 18-21, 23-26, 28-36, 38-41, 43-47 and 49-52 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. (Office Action p.2)

All rejected claims are canceled making this rejection now moot.

Application No. 10/549,854
Amendment dated August 18, 2008
Reply to Office Action of April 17, 2008

Docket No.: 80220(302735)

Rejection under 35 USC 102


Claims 1, 2 and 12 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being unpatentable by Lai et al. (USP 6,761,454). (Office Action p.4)

Claim 1 is amended with the subject matter of allowable claim 22, thereby making this rejection now moot.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: August 18, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By 
James E. Armstrong, IV
Registration No.: 42,266
EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE
LLP
P.O. Box 55874
Boston, Massachusetts 02205
(202) 478-7375
Attorneys/Agents For Applicant